LCPA Peer Review Program
Administered in Louisiana by the
Society of Louisiana CPAs

AICPA Peer Review Program
Administered by the
Society of Louisiana CPAs

January 28, 2015

Stephen Andrew Yost, CPA
Stephen Yost LLC
Po Box 73766
Metairie, LA 70033

Dear Mr. Yost:

It is my pleasure to notify you that on January 28, 2015 the Society of Louisiana CPAs Peer Review Committee accepted the report on the most recent system peer review of your firm. The due date for your next review is November 30, 2017. This is the date by which all review documents should be completed and submitted to the administering entity.

As you know, the report had a peer review rating of pass. The Committee asked me to convey its congratulations to the firm.

Please take a few minutes to complete the enclosed evaluation form concerning the administration of the peer review program. You may return the evaluation form to us via emailgsnyder@lcpa.org or fax 985-764-4345. Your opinion is important to us.

Sincerely,
SOCIETY OF LOUISIANA CPAs

Stacey Lockwood

Stacey Lockwood
Director of Professional Oversight
slockwood@lcpa.org 504 904-1136

cc: Martin Louis Chehotsky; Stephen Andrew Yost

Firm Number: 10152818 Review Number 363761

Letter ID: 955368

AICPA LOUISIANA SOCIETY PEER REVIEW PROGRAM

Reviewed Firm's Evaluation of the Peer Review Program

Reviewed Firm: Stephen Yost LLC

Team Captain's Name: Martin Chehotsky, CPA

On a scale of 5 to 1, please circle the best expression of your feelings on each statement. 5=Strongly Agree, 4=Agree, 3=Undecided, 2=Disagree, 1=Strongly Disagree.

1.	The reviewer conducted the review in a professional manner.	5	4	3	2	1
2.	The reviewer was able to obtain a general understanding of the firm's quality control system.	5	4	3	2	1
3.	The reviewer clearly explained the review process.	5	4	3	2	1
4.	The reviewer clearly explained the findings and provided practical recommendations to address the findings.	5	4	3	2	1
5.	The reviewer offered to review the letter of response and provided useful comments.	5	4	3	2	1
6.	The selection of engagements appeared appropriate.	5	4	3	2	1
7.	The reviewer provided helpful suggestions to improve the firm's practice.	5	4	3	2	1
8.	The review should be expanded to cover tax and management consulting services.	5	4	3	2	1
9.	The Society's administration of the program was effective and professional.	5	4	3	2	1

Comments on the Reviewer:

_____

_____

Comments About the Program:

_____

_____

Comments on the Peer Review Society Staff:

_____

_____

Signature_____Position_____Date_____

PLEASE RETURN VIA:

FAX 985-764-4345 ***OR*** **Email** gsnyder@lcpa.org