



PEER REVIEW PROGRAM

2400 Veterans Blvd., Suite 500
Kenner, LA 70062-4739
504-464-1040 • 800-288-5272
Fax: 504-469-7930
Web site: <http://www.lcpa.org>



American Institute of Certified Public Accountants
Administered by the
Society of Louisiana CPAs

August 30, 2007

To: Anthony J. Stoltz, CPA

We have performed a peer review of selected engagements (engagement review) of the accounting practice of Anthony J. Stoltz, CPA for the year ended December 31, 2006, in accordance with standards established by the Peer Review Board of the American Institute of Certified Public Accountants. Anthony J. Stoltz, CPA has represented to us that the firm performed no services under the Statements on Auditing Standards, *Government Auditing Standards* or examinations of prospective financial statements under the Statements on Standards for Attestation Engagements (SSAEs) during the year ended December 31, 2006.

An engagement review consists of reading selected financial statements or information and the accountant's report thereon, together with certain representations provided by the firm on the engagements submitted for review, and reviewing limited working papers for the purpose of considering whether the financial statements or information and the accountant's report and the documentation appear to be in conformity with professional standards in all material respects. An engagement review also includes reading required representations provided by the firm but does not provide the reviewer with a basis for expressing any assurance as to the firm's system of quality control for its accounting practice, and we express no opinion or any form of assurance on that system.

In connection with our engagement review, nothing came to our attention that caused us to believe that the financial statements or information and the related accountant's reports submitted for review by Anthony J. Stoltz, CPA for the year ended December 31, 2006, did not conform with the requirements of professional standards in all material respects or that the documentation on those engagements did not conform with the requirements of professional standards in all material respects.

A handwritten signature in black ink, appearing to read "C. Stoltz" or similar, written in a cursive style.

Reviewer

* The report should use the plural "we," "us," and "our" even if the review team consists of only one person. The singular "I," "me," and "my" is appropriate only if the reviewed firm has engaged another firm to perform its review and the reviewing firm is a sole practitioner.

